

Ratings Assigned To Bayport Securitisation (RF)'s Class A BAYA68U, BAYA69, BAYA70, And BAYA71 South African ABS Notes

Primary Credit Analyst:

Ignacio T Estruga, Madrid (34) 91-389-6964; ignacio.estruga@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Morie Liani, RAMAT-GAN +97237539725; Morie.liani@spglobal.com

OVERVIEW

- We have assigned our long-term 'zaAA- (sf)' ratings to Bayport Securitisation (RF)'s class A BAYA68U, BAYA69, BAYA70, and BAYA71 notes.
- At the same time, we have affirmed our ratings on all of the outstanding class A notes, conducting our analysis under our related criteria and our updated criteria for national and regional scale ratings.
- Bayport Securitisation (RF) securitizes a portfolio of South African consumer loan receivables that Bayport Financial Services 2010 (Pty) originated.

MADRID (S&P Global Ratings) July 2, 2018--S&P Global Ratings today assigned its long-term 'zaAA- (sf)' credit ratings to Bayport Securitisation (RF) Ltd.'s class A BAYA68U, BAYA69, BAYA70, and BAYA71 notes. At the same time, we have affirmed our ratings on the outstanding class A notes, and withdrawn our ratings on the class A BAYA31 and BYA49U notes (see list below).

Upon publishing our updated criteria for national and regional scale credit ratings, we placed those ratings that could potentially be affected under criteria observation, including Bayport Securitisation (RF)'s class A notes (see "Some South Africa National Scale Ratings Under Criteria Observation On Revised National Scale Credit Rating Methodology," "Methodology For National

And Regional Scale

Credit Ratings," and our guidance document "S&P Global Ratings' National And Regional Scale Mapping Specifications," all published on June 25, 2018).

Following our review of this transaction, our ratings that could potentially be affected by the criteria are no longer under criteria observation.

Today's rating actions reflect our credit and cash flow analysis, using the most recent available collateral performance data. We have considered the most recent transaction structure in our analysis, taking into account the further new issuance and existing notes' amortization as of the today's interest payment date.

Bayport Securitisation (RF) securitizes a portfolio of South African consumer loan receivables that Bayport Financial Services 2010 (Pty) Ltd. (Bayport) originated in the ordinary course of its business. The transaction does not have a fixed revolving period; it revolves until the issuer fully repays the outstanding debt, or until an acceleration event occurs.

RATING RATIONALE

Economic Outlook

In our credit analysis, we have considered our latest forecasts for South Africa's economy (see "S&P Global Ratings Raises Its GDP Growth Forecast For South Africa," published on March 27, 2018). Our revised baseline economic forecast for South Africa is of a relatively weak real GDP growth of 2.0% in 2018 and 2.1% in 2019, nevertheless higher than our prior projections of 1.0% and 1.7%, respectively. We expect the unemployment rate to remain high at about 27.4% in 2018 and 27.3% in 2019. We have incorporated our expectations of a weak economic growth in the country, as well as our view of the unsecured lending market in South Africa, in our credit assumptions applicable to the securitized portfolio.

Credit Risk

We have analyzed credit risk by applying our "Global Methodology And Assumptions For Assessing The Credit Quality Of Securitized Consumer Receivables," criteria published on Oct. 9, 2014, to derive our default and recovery assumptions for various rating levels. Our credit analysis assumptions remain unchanged since our previous review of the transaction (see "Ratings Assigned To Bayport Securitisation (RF)'s Class A BAYA66 And BAYA67 South African ABS Notes," published on April 3, 2018). Our worst-case pool assumption comprises only consumer loans, as we expect those loans to generate a higher level of net losses compared with the cellular loans currently securitized. The credit quality performance of the securitized portfolio is in line with our current forecast default and recovery rates in this transaction. At the same time, we lowered our credit multiples and recovery rate haircuts to reflect the recalibration in our South Africa mapping table for national scale ratings. The lower stresses do not represent a change in our view of the

pool of loans intrinsic credit quality. Such assumptions changes result purely from the change to the configuration of the mapping specifications.

Operational Risk

We consider the risk relating to the servicer to be mitigated at the rating levels of the notes in line with our criteria (see "Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance Transactions," published on Oct. 9, 2014). In our view, severity risk (the effect of servicer disruption) is moderate and portability risk (the inability to replace the servicer) is high. Considering the availability of the warm back-up servicer, MBD Credit Solutions (PTY) Ltd., since closing, the operational risk constrains the maximum potential ratings achievable for the notes at a global scale 'A+' level (corresponding to the national level of 'zaAAA').

Counterparty Risk

The transaction is exposed to the credit risk of Standard Bank of South Africa Ltd. acting as the transaction bank account provider. We consider that the transaction documents mitigate this risk at the 'zaAA-' rating level in line with our current counterparty criteria (see "Counterparty Risk Framework Methodology And Assumptions," published on June 25, 2013). The servicer is an unrated entity. We model commingling risk as a liquidity stress, given the strong structural features aimed at limiting commingling risk exposure.

Cash Flow Analysis

Our cash flow model reflects our assessment of the transaction's payment structure and our credit and cash flow assumptions, including the issuance of the additional class A notes on July 2, 2018. We applied our "Global Framework For Cash Flow Analysis Of Structured Finance Securities" criteria. The new class A notes are floating-rate amortizing notes with a legal final maturity in March 2021. Our cash flow analysis considered the transaction's structural features, including the credit enhancement the available cash and performing balance provides.

The class A notes (new and existing) pass our cash flow analysis and stresses at a long-term 'zaAA+' rating level. This result is higher than in our last full review. The higher rating outcome does not represent a change in our view of the transaction's intrinsic credit quality. Changes in our cash flow results since our last review result purely from the change to the configuration of the mapping specifications.

Legal Risk

We consider the issuer to be bankruptcy remote, in line with our legal criteria (see "Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology," published on March 29, 2017). We have received legal comfort that the sale of the assets would survive the seller's insolvency.

Rating Stability

Under our scenario analysis, we ran two stress scenarios and assessed the transaction's performance. The results of our scenario analysis are

commensurate with our credit stability criteria (see "Methodology: Credit Stability Criteria," published on May 3, 2010). In addition, our ratings on the class A notes address frequent changes in the capital structure, thereby maintaining rating stability.

Sovereign Risk

Under our structured finance ratings above the sovereign criteria, we treat the type of collateral being securitized (South African unsecured consumer loans) as having moderate sensitivity to the South African sovereign risk (see "Ratings Above The Sovereign - Structured Finance: Methodology And Assumptions," published on Aug. 8, 2016). The sovereign default stress test would be applicable to this transaction if the results of the asset-specific criteria analysis indicated a rating level above the foreign currency rating on the sovereign, currently 'BB/B' ('zaAA+/zaA-1+' national scale ratings). The output on our analysis, at 'zaAA-', is lower than the rating on the sovereign. We therefore did not apply the sovereign stress test in our analysis.

Following the application of our criteria, we determined that our assigned ratings on the classes of notes in this transaction should be the lower of (i) the rating that the class of notes can attain under our consumer loan criteria or (ii) the rating as capped by our counterparty criteria.

When we apply our stresses under our consumer loan criteria, the class A notes are able to withstand up to a 'zaAA+ (sf)' rating scenario. However, in this transaction, our rating on the class A notes is constrained at 'zaAA- (sf)' by our current counterparty criteria. As such, we have assigned our 'zaAA- (sf)' ratings to the new class A notes and affirmed our ratings on the existing class A notes.

RELATED CRITERIA

- General Criteria: Methodology For National And Regional Scale Credit Ratings, June 25, 2018
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings , April 7, 2017
- Legal Criteria: Structured Finance: Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology, March 29, 2017
- Criteria - Structured Finance - General: Ratings Above The Sovereign - Structured Finance: Methodology And Assumptions, Aug. 8, 2016
- Criteria - Structured Finance - General: Methodology: Criteria For Global Structured Finance Transactions Subject To A Change In Payment Priorities Or Sale Of Collateral Upon A Nonmonetary EOD, March 2, 2015
- Criteria - Structured Finance - General: Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance Transactions, Oct. 9, 2014
- Criteria - Structured Finance - ABS: Global Methodology And Assumptions For Assessing The Credit Quality Of Securitized Consumer Receivables, Oct. 9, 2014

- Criteria - Structured Finance - General: Global Framework For Cash Flow Analysis Of Structured Finance Securities, Oct. 9, 2014
- Criteria - Structured Finance - General: Counterparty Risk Framework Methodology And Assumptions, June 25, 2013
- Criteria - Structured Finance - General: Criteria Methodology Applied To Fees, Expenses, And Indemnifications, July 12, 2012
- General Criteria: Methodology: Credit Stability Criteria, May 3, 2010
- Criteria - Structured Finance - General: Standard & Poor's Revises Criteria Methodology For Servicer Risk Assessment, May 28, 2009

RELATED RESEARCH

- S&P Global Ratings' National And Regional Scale Mapping Specifications, June 25, 2018
- Some South Africa National Scale Ratings Under Criteria Observation On Revised National Scale Credit Rating Methodology, June 25, 2018
- Ratings Assigned To Bayport Securitisation (RF)'s Class A BAYA66 And BAYA67 South African ABS Notes, April 3, 2018
- S&P Global Ratings Raises Its GDP Growth Forecast For South Africa, March 27, 2018
- South Africa Ratings Lowered On Weakening Economic And Fiscal Trajectory; Outlook Stable, Nov. 24, 2017
- 2017 EMEA ABS Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis, July 6, 2017
- Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- European Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016

RATINGS LIST

Bayport Securitisation (RF) Ltd.
ZAR8.852 Billion Asset-Backed Notes

Ratings Assigned

Class	Rating	Amount (mil. ZAR)
Class A (BAYA68U)	zaAA- (sf)	160.0
Class A (BAYA69)	zaAA- (sf)	125.0
Class A (BAYA70)	zaAA- (sf)	33.0
Class A (BAYA71)	zaAA- (sf)	172.0

Class Rating

Ratings Affirmed

Class A (BAYA39)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA41)	zaAA- (sf)

Ratings Assigned To Bayport Securitisation (RF)'s Class A BAYA68U, BAYA69, BAYA70, And BAYA71 South African ABS Notes

Class A (BAYA42)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA45)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA51)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA52)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA54)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA57)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA58)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA59)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA60)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA61)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA62)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA63)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA64)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA65)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA66)	zaAA- (sf)
Class A (BAYA67)	zaAA- (sf)

Class Rating

Ratings Withdrawn

Class A (BAYA31)	NR
Class A (BYA49U)	NR

Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.